

Although these factors will be important for assessing the EW site performance following remediation, they are not part of the AB evaluation, because they are associated with the EW site. A separate memorandum discusses the impact of these factors related to site-wide conditions and AB estimates (Anchor QEA [in preparation]). Post-construction, monitoring will need to consider the relative timeframes for deposition of incoming solids within the EW and recognize that initial post-construction conditions are predicted to differ from those associated with long-term equilibration to AB-based cleanup levels.

EPA interprets the lavender highlighted statement to presuppose that monitoring will consider the potential influences of sources and contamination remaining after construction, language that EPA has required that the EWG delete from the previous paragraph. This memo and this section must not dictate the timing of monitoring or how monitoring data is interpreted. As with the previous paragraph delete this highlighted sentence, pertaining to this issue.

Commented [ERH1]: Not totally sure what is meant here. Do you mean "...the relative timeframes for deposition of incoming versus resuspended solids"?

Commented [EAA2R1]: Agree, confusing language here, Not sure this needs to be discussed at all

Commented [GWWCUC(3): Ensure that this is consistent with EPA monitoring DQOs